

Message

From: Froede, Carl [Froede.Carl@epa.gov]
Sent: 3/22/2021 1:42:41 PM
To: Amoroso, Cathy [Amoroso.Cathy@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: 03-22-21 -- Signing in

Importance: High

I don't believe DOE will need to reissue the PP because they discuss wastewater treatment (in general terms) in the EMDF PP. But the public does deserve a public availability session to learn about the EIT/DRAT discussions and what was done to calculate the discharge limits (my perspective). Trying to find the FFS in the Admin Record while the D1 ROD is out for extended review only to learn about what was done to address discharge limits is a disservice to the public. They (public) need to understand the process and DOE's focus ONLY on consumable fish. That's why I want a map showing all the sampling points used by BMAP from which only the lower run fish of edible size were analyzed. This is NOT an effort to submarine DOE/EIT/DRAT – only to explain the point of exposure and how it was determined. The POC is at the EMWMF/EMDF release points into Bear Creek.

This DRAT/EIT exercise cannot “begin” at BCK 4.5. The first question that the public (and me if I were attending the Info session) would ask is “What about the fish up Bear Creek? Do we know about them?” Sharing the sampling points and fish size (too small) can quickly negate further questions – I hope.

From: Amoroso, Cathy <Amoroso.Cathy@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 22, 2021 9:10 AM
To: Froede, Carl <Froede.Carl@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: 03-22-21 -- Signing in

It's been discussed at the EIT, and needs to be raised to EPA management. There is a senior leaders meeting in April (Randall and Carol will attend). I am trying to get an item on the agenda for public participation and the EMDF.

Let's discuss after the next EIT meeting.

At this point, I believe DOE is planning an information session with opportunity for Q&A, but not planning to reissue the PP or hold formal public comment period.

From: Froede, Carl <Froede.Carl@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 22, 2021 8:58 AM
To: Amoroso, Cathy <Amoroso.Cathy@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: 03-22-21 -- Signing in
Importance: High

Glad you are safely there. I bet it's just beautiful.

Is there any EPA HQ call(s) before our extended DRAT Thursday telemeeting? Is there a “plan” for the DQO meeting? I want to be prepared and help out as you may need me.

Unless you have heard anything differently at the EIT, I think we need to press for the “Public Meeting” – making plans and discussing possible dates – so DOE cannot simply ignore it. If the EIT is not planning on having a new public meeting to share the discharge limits then please let me know and I will back off.

DOE will NOT willfully go to the public for anything and we either press them or it may not happen. I figure this is an “EIT” level issue (or higher) and we need to start laying the groundwork for that meeting starting today.

What say you?

From: Amoroso, Cathy <Amoroso.Cathy@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 22, 2021 8:34 AM
To: Froede, Carl <Froede.Carl@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: 03-22-21 -- Signing in

Good morning! Back in beautiful western NC. Busy week ahead.

Cathy Amoroso, Chief
Restoration & DOE Coordination Section
Superfund & Emergency Management Division
U.S. EPA, Region 4

On Mar 22, 2021, at 5:57 AM, Froede, Carl <Froede.Carl@epa.gov> wrote:

Good morning Cathy,

I hope that you had an enjoyable weekend.

I am reporting to work today.

Carl